

Option 2

Benefits:
This options may result in the reduction in speed near the recreation reserve, and a safer crossing environment.

Risks:
A raised crossing may increase noise levels for residents living immediately adjacent to the crossing.
Additionally, the effectiveness of the raised crossing is reduced given the requirement to make it bus capable.
The raised crossing may direct pedestrians to a location which does not align with the outcomes of the Pedestrian and Cycling study.

Cost: \$35,000

Raised Crossing

Option 3

Benefits:
This options may result in a reduction in speed in the area of the recreation reserve and shopping centre.

Risks:
Speed humps can increase noise levels for residents living immediately adjacent to the speed humps.
Residents may not like a speed hump in front of their property as it could aesthetically displeasing impacting property value.
This treatment does not align with the principles of our Traffic Calming policy as the traffic investigation does not warrant further traffic calming devices on Royal Crescent.
The Pedestrian and Cycling study may recommend a raised crossing in a location requiring the future removal of the speed hump.

Cost: \$20,000

Speed Hump

