



# MELTON CITY COUNCIL

---

**Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the  
Melton City Council**

**3 February 2015**

---

**THESE MINUTES CONTAIN REPORTS DEALT WITH AT A  
CLOSED MEETING OF COUNCIL**



---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1. OPENING PRAYER AND RECONCILIATION STATEMENT</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. CHANGE TO THE ORDER OF BUSINESS</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. DEPUTATIONS</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5. DECLARATION OF ANY PECUNIARY INTEREST, OTHER INTEREST OR CONFLICT OF INTEREST OF ANY COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6. ADOPTION AND CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS</b> Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 16 December 2014.	<b>4</b>
<b>7. CORRESPONDENCE INWARD</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>8. PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>9. RESUMPTION OF DEBATE OR OTHER BUSINESS CARRIED OVER FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>9.1 PLANNING APPLICATION PA 2014/4548 - TWO LOT RURAL SUBDIVISION AT 1 - 105 LEAKES ROAD, PLUMPTON</b>	<b>6</b>
To consider a planning application for two lot rural subdivision at 1 – 105 Leakes Road, Plumpton.	
<b>10. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>11. PRESENTATION OF STAFF REPORTS</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>11.1 AUTHORISATION OF AFFIXING THE COMMON SEAL OF COUNCIL</b>	<b>19</b>
For Council to adopt the schedule of documents ( <b>Appendix 1</b> ) requiring the Common Seal of Council.	
<b>11.2 COMMUNITY LEARNING BOARD MINUTES 30 OCTOBER AND 4 DECEMBER 2014</b>	<b>21</b>
To present to Council Minutes of the Community Learning Board meetings held on 30 October 2014 and 4 December 2014	

- 
- 11.3 MELTON A LEARNING CITY: COMMUNITY LEARNING PLAN 2015-2018** **23**  
To present to Council for endorsement, *Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018* and the Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018
- 11.4 LEISURE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 24 NOVEMBER 2014** **26**  
To present the minutes of the Leisure Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 November 2014.
- 11.5 DISABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 4 DECEMBER 2014** **28**  
To present the minutes of the Disability Advisory Committee meeting held on 4 December 2014.
- 11.6 COMMUNITY SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 1 DECEMBER 2014** **30**  
To present the minutes of the Community Safety Committee meeting held on 1 December 2014.
- 11.7 DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY EXERCISED - 1 JULY 2014 TO 31 DECEMBER 2014** **32**  
To advise Council of Delegations of Authority exercised for the period 1 July 2014 to 31 December 2014.
- 11.8 ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND PLANS** **34**  
To have Council consider and adopt the Asset Management Strategy 2014 and Asset Management Plan 2014 (includes Buildings, Drainage, Roads and Open Space).
- 11.9 PLANNING APPLICATION PA 2014/4593 - THREE LOT RURAL SUBDIVISION, AND THE USE AND DEVELOPMENT OF LOT 2 FOR THE PURPOSE OF A DWELLING AND OUTBUILDING AT 107-161 LEAKES ROAD, PLUMPTON** **36**  
To consider a planning application for three lot rural subdivision, and the use and development of lot 2 for the purpose of a dwelling and outbuilding at 107-161 Leakes Road, Plumpton.
- 11.10 JUNIOR COUNCIL PROGRAM** **49**  
To provide Council with an update on the Junior Council initiative.
- 11.11 FAMILY DAY CARE FUNDING REFORMS** **52**  
To update Council on the change to the Australian Federal Government funding for Family Day Care (FDC) from 30 June 2015.

---

<b>12. REPORTS FROM DELEGATES APPOINTED TO OTHER BODIES</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>13. COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATIONS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>13.1 RECORD OF ASSEMBLY OF COUNCILLORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 80A(1) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1989</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>13.2 PUBLIC ADDRESS BY EACH COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>14. NOTICES OF MOTION</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>14.1 NOTICE OF MOTION 398 (CR TURNER) COUNCIL WRITE TO MINISTER FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT, THE HONORABLE NATALIE HUTCHINS.</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>14.2 NOTICE OF MOTION 399 (CR CARLI) CEO TO ADVISE BY NEXT ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING WHAT SERVICES WILL BE CUT.</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>14.3 NOTICE OF MOTION 400 (CR CARLI) CEO UNDERTAKE RECOVERY OF MONIES PAID IN THE FORM OF CHILDCARE REIMBURSEMENTS</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>15. COUNCILLOR'S QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>16. MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>17. URGENT BUSINESS</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>18. CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>18.1 EXPRESSION OF INTEREST - 54 PINNACLE CRESCENT, BROOKFIELD PROPERTY</b>	<b>62</b>
To provide Council with an overview on the expression of interest for the property situated at 54 Pinnacle Crescent, Brookfield.	
<b>18.2 PROVISION OF VALUATION SERVICES - CONTRACT No 15/017</b>	<b>67</b>
To inform Council that the tender assessment for the provision of Valuation Services has been completed and to recommend the preferred tender to Council.	
<b>18.3 CEO'S REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT 2014/15</b>	<b>70</b>
To inform the Council of the Review Committees periodical assessment for the Chief Executive Officer.	
<b>19. CLOSE OF BUSINESS</b>	<b>72</b>

**MELTON CITY COUNCIL****MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY MEETING OF THE MELTON CITY  
COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CIVIC CENTRE, 232  
HIGH STREET, MELTON ON 3 FEBRUARY 2015 AT 7.08PM**

**Present:** Cr S.Ramsey (Mayor)  
Cr N.Dunn (Deputy Mayor)  
Cr L.Carli  
Cr R.Cugliari  
Cr M.Matalewski – arrived at 7.46 pm  
Cr K.Majdlik  
Cr B.Turner

Mr K.Tori, Chief Executive Officer  
Mr P.Bean, General Manager Corporate Services  
Mr M.Heaney, General Manager Community Services  
Mr L.Shannon, General Manager Planning and Development  
Mr B.Baggio, Manager Planning  
Mr D.Hogan, Manager Customer Engagement  
Ms A.Rajjer, Communications Coordinator

**1. OPENING PRAYER AND RECONCILIATION STATEMENT**

The Mayor, Cr Ramsey read the opening prayer and reconciliation statement.

**2. APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

Nil.

**3. CHANGES TO THE ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Nil.

**4. DEPUTATIONS**

Mr Ray Jasper, Regional Manager of Victoria SES Central Region presented to Council the Certificate of Audit for Council's Municipal Emergency Management Plan (MEMP).

**5. DECLARATION OF ANY PECUNIARY INTEREST, OTHER INTEREST  
OR CONFLICT OF INTEREST OF ANY COUNCILLOR**

Cr Majdlik having previously advised the Chief Executive Officer in writing under s.79(2)(a)(ii), declared a direct interest in item 14.3 of the agenda.

Cr Cugliari, having previously advised the Chief Executive Officer in writing under s.79(2)(a)(ii), declared a direct interest in item 14.3 of the agenda.

Cr Cugliari declared an interest in item 11.9 of the agenda.

Cr Carli, having previously advised the Chief Executive Officer in writing under s.79(2)(a)(ii), declared a direct interest in item 11.7 of the agenda.

Mr K Tori, Chief Executive Officer, declared a conflict of interest in item 18.3 of the agenda.

Cr Ramsey, as a Board member of Djerriwarrh Health Services, declared a direct interest in item 11.7 of the agenda.

## **6. ADOPTION AND CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

Crs Dunn/Cugliari

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 16 December 2014 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED

## **7. CORRESPONDENCE INWARD**

Email received – Minister for Planning advising that he has received Councils correspondence and will respond at his earliest convenience.

## **8. PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS**

Nil.

## 9. RESUMPTION OF DEBATE OR OTHER BUSINESS CARRIED OVER FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING

### 9.1 PLANNING APPLICATION PA 2014/4548 - TWO LOT RURAL SUBDIVISION AT 1 - 105 LEAKES ROAD, PLUMPTON

Author: Cam Luong- Development Planner  
Presenter: Bob Baggio- Manager Planning and Environment

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To consider a planning application for two lot rural subdivision at 1 - 105 Leakes Road, Plumpton.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council issue a Notice of Refusal for the above proposal on the following grounds:

1. The proposal is inconsistent with the State Planning Policy Framework of the Melton Planning Scheme, and in particular, Clause 11 of the Melton Planning Scheme relating to the Green Wedges and Rural Productivity, which discourages development of isolated small lots in rural areas for rural living.
2. The proposal is contrary to the purpose of the Green Wedge Zone pursuant to Clause 35.04 of the Melton Planning Scheme. The proposal fails to recognise, protect and conserve green wedge land for agricultural purposes and does not encourage sustainable land management practices, sustainable farming activities or provide opportunity for the retention of productive agricultural uses.
3. The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives and requirements of Council's Rural Land Use Policy. In particular, the proposed subdivision will result in the fragmentation of the existing rural areas, does not respect the rural character of the area and will reduce the amount of rural land available for productive and sustainable agricultural uses.
4. The proposal is inconsistent with the principles of the State Government's Plan Melbourne Strategy, which recognises that "*some areas around Melbourne with very fertile soil, essential for highly productive agricultural land uses, are under threat from competing land uses such as urban encroachment and rural residential development*"; and outlines that "*Important areas need to be protected to ensure strategically significant agricultural land is not permanently lost.*"
5. The proposal is inconsistent with Council's adopted *Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan, 23 September 2014*. In particular, the proposal is deemed to be inconsistent with the strategic direction of Precinct 3 and the actions (recommendations) identified as part of the Green Wedge Management Plan.

#### Motion

Crs Cugliari/Carli

That Council resolves to issue a Planning Permit for the two lot subdivision of land at 1-105 Leakes Road, Plumpton subject to the following conditions:

1. Before the Plan of Subdivision is certified under the Subdivision Act 1988, the owner of the land must have an Environmental Management Plan for the property prepared by a suitably qualified professional, and subsequently endorsed by the Responsible Authority to form part of this permit. The Environmental Management Plan must include, but is not limited to:



- a) The protection of native vegetation if present on the property, including a statement to the effect that native vegetation (including on the adjoining roadsides) must not be removed, lopped or adversely impacted upon without a further planning permit;
- b) The designation of an appropriate building and effluent disposal envelope for the vacant lot.
- c) Mapped areas of any native grassland;
- d) The protection of any native vegetation as required throughout the site, clear of any development envelopes and access driveways;
- e) Control, removal and exclusion of environmental weeds;
- f) Machinery must be washed down prior to entering the site to minimize the introduction and spread of weeds and pathogens;
- g) No fill shall be brought onto the property, so as to minimise the introduction of weeds and pathogens;
- h) Induction of contractors to identify environmentally sensitive zones must be undertaken before subdivision works commence.

Once endorsed, the Environmental Management Plan will form part of the permit.

2. The subdivision as shown on the endorsed plan must not be altered or modified without the written consent of the Responsible Authority.
3. Prior to the issue of a Statement of Compliance for the subdivision, the owner of the land must enter into an agreement with the Responsible Authority pursuant to Section 173 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987, such that:
  - a) All buildings or works associated with a dwelling must be constructed on or carried out within a nominated Development Envelope;
  - b) The land must not be further subdivided so as to create any additional lots in accordance with the requirements of the Green Wedge Zone in the Melton Planning Scheme;
  - c) Any dwelling constructed on any allotment hereby permitted must be connected to a wastewater treatment and disposal facility in accordance with a land capability assessment undertaken by a suitably qualified person;
  - d) There must be ongoing implementation of an endorsed Environmental Management Plan, which is to be approved by the Responsible Authority.

The agreement must be registered on Title pursuant to Section 181 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 and all costs associated with the preparation, execution and lodgement of the agreement on Title must be borne by the owner of the land.

4. Drainage of both lots must be to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
5. Prior to the issue of a Statement of Compliance for the subdivision, each lot must be provided with a vehicle crossing to the requirements and satisfaction of the Responsible Authority. In this regard, the vehicle crossing/s must be constructed to a rural standard in accordance with Councils' Standard drawings and a road opening permit must be obtained prior to construction. Engineering plans showing the locations of vehicle crossings for the subdivided allotments must be submitted to the Responsible Authority prior to construction
6. Any existing works affected by the subdivision works shall be reinstated at no cost and to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
7. All existing and proposed easements and sites for existing or required utility services and roads on land must be set aside on the plan of subdivision submitted for certification in favour of the relevant authority for which the easement or site is to be created.
8. The owner of the land must enter into agreements with the relevant authorities for the provision of water supply, drainage, sewerage facilities, electricity, gas and

telecommunications services to each lot shown on the endorsed plan in accordance with that authority's requirements and the relevant legislation at the time.

9. The plan of subdivision submitted for certification under the Subdivision Act 1988 must be referred to the relevant authority in accordance with Section 8 of the Act.
10. The following requirements of Western Water must be satisfied prior to the issue of a Statement of Compliance for the subdivision:
  - a) Payment of new customer contributions for the additional allotment created by the development, such amount being determined by Western Water at the time of payment;
  - b) Provision of reticulated water and associated construction works to each allotment, at the developers expense and to the satisfaction of Western Water;
  - c) Any existing water service which crosses any of the proposed allotment boundaries within the proposed development must be disconnected and relocated at the developer's expense, to be wholly within one allotment only and to the satisfaction of Western Water;
11. This permit will expire if a plan of subdivision is not certified for the subdivision hereby permitted within two (2) years of the date of this permit and following certification, if a Statement of Compliance is not issued for the subdivision hereby permitted within five (5) years of the date of certification of the plan of subdivision.

NOTES:

- No native vegetation may be removed from the site or road reserve without the further written permission of the Responsible Authority.

CARRIED

**REPORT****1. Background****Executive Summary**

Application No.:	2014/4548
Applicant:	Mr R Brouchier, C/- Viney and Tomkinson

- This matter was reported to Council at its Ordinary Meeting of 16 December 2014, where it was resolved to defer consideration of the application to this meeting of Council.
- The proposal is to subdivide the land into two allotments.
- The site is within the Green Wedge Zone and affected by the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1).
- No objections were received.
- The proposal does not meet the relevant requirements of the Council's Planning Scheme.
- Refusal is recommended.

**The Land and Surrounding Area**

The subject site has an area of 56.47ha and is located to the southeast of the intersection of Holden Road and Leakes Road. Other features of the site are as follows:

- The site is triangular in shape with a northern frontage of 1.79km to Holden Road, and a western frontage of 765 metres to Leakes Road.
- The land contains a single storey dwelling of brick construction in its south west corner as well as various sheds. The dwelling is accessed via a crossover and all weather driveway which connects to Leakes Road approximately 350m north of the dwelling.
- The applicant has indicated that the bulk of the property is currently used for cropping, via long standing share-farming arrangements with a neighbour who intends to continue farming the property in the long term. There is a small olive grove directly to the east of the dwelling and its associated effluent field. There is scattered vegetation along the property fence lines, with much of it being planted non-native trees.
- The site contains three dams.
- Post and wire fencing has been erected along all boundaries.
- The applicant has also indicated that the site has access to reticulated water, power and telecommunications, as well as a recycled water pipeline (Sunbury Melton Recycled Water Scheme). The dwelling is also provided with supplementary water by four large water tanks. A septic system manages waste from the dwelling, with its effluent field directly to the east of the dwelling (between the dwelling and the olive grove).

The surrounding area is characterised by its broad expansive rural landscapes and vistas which exist across this area. The openness of this landscape is emphasised by the relative flatness and by the current large lots sizes (generally over 40ha) and lack of development.

Refer to **Appendix 1** for a locality plan.

### The Application

The proposal seeks to subdivide the subject land into two lots.

The proposed development is summarised as follows:

- Proposed Lot 1 will contain the existing dwelling and will be approximately 4.47ha in area, and will incorporate the existing driveway and crossover to Leakes Road. The applicant has indicated there is an opportunity to grow more olive trees on the land to the north of the dwelling.
- Proposed Lot 2 will form the primary lot and contain 52ha of land. This lot will be accessed via the existing access off Holden Road. This lot will continue to be used for its current cropping purposes. The existing olive grove will be located within the allotment.
- To justify their proposal the applicant has indicated the following:

*The current lot is too small in current (and anticipated future) market conditions to profitably run a farming enterprise as a stand-alone farm and needs to continue to be incorporated into larger farming enterprises to continue its agricultural use into the future. Excising the house lot (proposed Lot 1) will enable it to be managed separately from the business enterprise on the remaining cropping property. The house lot can be transferred into the personal names of the owners, where the cropping lot can remain as part of the current company name that it operates within. This provides the flexibility needed to continue to manage the cropping property as agricultural land into the future, in line with City of Melton objectives for rural areas, including the Green Wedge Zone.*

Refer to **Appendix 2** for plans of the proposal.

### Planning Controls

The subject land is located in the Green Wedge Zone (GWZ) and is affected by the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1) under the provisions of the Melton Planning Scheme. Pursuant to Clause 35.04-3 of the Scheme, a permit is required to subdivide the land. A proposal to subdivide land within the Green Wedge Zone must meet the conditions specified in the Schedule to the Green Wedge Zone.

The Schedule breaks down the rural land of Melton into three different groupings (this being Areas A, B and C). The subject land is located in Area A. The Schedule outlines the following requirements for subdivision within Area A:

- *“The number of lots into which the land may be subdivided is to be calculated using the following formula:  $N=A/20$ .*
- *Where N (rounded down) is the number of lots that can be created and A is the area of the land in hectares.*
- *The subdivision must comprise one large primary lot. The secondary lots must be at least 1.0 hectares and must be no larger than 5.0 hectares.*
- *An agreement under Section 173 of the Act must be entered into with the owner of each lot created which ensures that the land may not be further subdivided under this provision.”*

The proposed subdivision will meet the requirements outlined in the Schedule.

**Background regarding the rural subdivision provisions in the Green Wedge Zone**

Amendment L56 to the Melton Planning Scheme (1996) involved the deletion of the General Farming A and B Zones and replaced them with the Rural (Agricultural) Zone. The zone was subsequently replaced with the Green Wedge Zone, but the subdivision provisions remain.

It is important for Council to note that in supporting Amendment L56, Council identified that “the amendment is first and foremost a rural based amendment intended to protect and enhance the declining rural and agricultural areas of Melton by producing a greater degree of flexibility in development controls (particularly in relation to subdivision) than would ordinarily be the case in rural zones and to use the economic gains to landowners from these concessions to consolidate and enhance the remaining rural landholdings into viable units. Such a strategy involves a careful balance of the competing views of those public authorities who wish to avoid further fragmentation of rural areas and private land owners who want greater development options”. The aim is to respond in part to the pressures for further land fragmentation on the urban fringe, but to manage the fragmentation in a sustainable manner to encourage corresponding aggregation where possible (Panel Report, Page13).

The amendment was also designed to introduce a more flexible approach to rural land use and management by “fostering developments that are sustainable and feature a high standard of environmental management by rural land owners”.

The proposed subdivision is not consistent with the purpose and intent of Amendment L56. The application provides no justification of how the economic gains to the owner of the subject land will protect or enhance the existing rural land holdings into viable units.

**Green Wedge Management Plan**

Section 60(1A)(g) of the *Planning and Environment Act* outlines that Council may consider any adopted strategic plan, policy document, code or guideline, when assessing an application.

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 23 September 2014, Council adopted the Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan in line with State Government requirements. The Management Plan recognises that the subject site is located within Precinct 3 titled “Leakes Road and Holden Road”. This precinct is one of two recognised for agriculture within this green wedge. Whilst it is recognised that farming is difficult in this area due to low rainfall, urban pressures and land mismanagement occurring, both this Precinct, and Precinct 2 have been recognised as two key agricultural corridors due to the presence of large allotments with minimal fragmentation and both are supported by the Sunbury Wastewater Treatment Facility and associated recycled water pipeline.

The Management Plan describes Precinct 3 as *‘broad expansive rural landscapes and vistas [which] exist across this area...the openness of this landscape is emphasised by the relative flatness and by the current large lots sizes (generally over 40ha) and lack of development. This openness should be taken into consideration when considering future planning permit applications in this area.’*

The Management Plan outlines a number of Actions for Precinct 3; the Actions (recommendations) which are relevant to the assessment of this application are as follows:

Action	Assessment
<p><b>Action L10 a7</b></p> <p>Pursue changes to introduce a flat 30ha minimum lot size as an 'interim' measure (subject to approvals), in the Leakes Road- Holden Road Precinct until optimal agricultural uses and associated lot sizes are confirmed.</p>	<p>The Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan identifies that the current schedule to the Green Wedge Zone, which includes the subdivision formula <math>N=A/20</math> results in poor land management outcomes.</p> <p>It therefore recommends a future amendment to the Planning Scheme to replace the rural lot excision provisions with the flat minimum lot size.</p>
<p><b>Action L2 a1</b></p> <p>Work with Western Water to prepare a 'recycled water based agriculture' business plan.</p> <p><b>Action L2 a2</b></p> <p>On the basis of the findings of the agricultural business plan, pursue any required updates to the identified subdivision controls to best facilitate agricultural uses.</p>	<p>The Management Plan recommends that Council prepare a Recycled Water Business Plan with Western Water to determine the most appropriate allotment sizes for improved land management outcomes and sustainable agricultural practices.</p> <p>Whilst this Business Plan may support the subdivision of land similar to what is being proposed, this work has not yet been done hence the proposed subdivision is premature and should not be supported at this stage.</p>
<p><b>Action L10 a8</b></p> <p>Introduce relevant controls to discourage strip development along main roads in the Leakes Road – Holden Road Precinct, outline requirements for Sustainable Land Management Plans, and recognise the ongoing quarry uses and associated buffers.</p>	<p>Despite the permit application identifying that the primary (larger) lot will be used for agricultural purposes, approving the subdivision will mean a dwelling can be constructed on this primary lot, resulting in increased residential development along Leakes or Holden Roads.</p> <p>The issue in relation to the proliferation of strip development (applications) is evident by the recent lodgment of a separate application to subdivide the property to the south.</p> <p>The Management Plan does not support this.</p>
<p><b>Action E7 a1</b></p> <p>Explore opportunities for identification of the Mount Kororoit area as a destination and investigate opportunities for recognising this.</p>	<p>The proposed subdivision includes land which is within the Significant Landscape Overlay – recognising the proximity to Mount Kororoit. Approval of a subdivision within this location may prejudice the objectives of the SLO, which is applied to limit development within this environmentally sensitive area.</p>

The proposed two lot subdivision is considered to be inconsistent with the strategic direction of Precinct 3 and the actions (recommendations) identified as part of the Green Wedge Management Plan. In particular, the subdivision will result in the fragmentation of land which is designated for agricultural purposes without adequate justification through a Recycled Water Business Plan, and may impact on views and environmental qualities of Mount Kororoit which is considered to be an environmentally sensitive location.

**Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1)**

The purpose of the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1) is to protect and conserve volcanic hills and cones from inappropriate development and to help to conserve the existing visual amenity and rural landscapes. In particular, the policy outlines that in order to protect these volcanic cones from development, buildings and works will be discouraged above the 180 metre contour line for Mt Kororoit.

**Planning Policy Framework**

The following State Planning Policies are relevant in the assessment of this application:

- Clause 11.04-7 – Green Wedges
- Clause 11.05-3 – Rural Productivity.

The purpose of the Green Wedge Policy at Clause 11.04-7 is to protect the green wedges of Metropolitan Melbourne from inappropriate development. One of the strategies of the policy is to “*Consolidate new residential development within existing settlements and in locations where planned services are available and green wedge area values can be protected*”.

The purpose of the Rural Productivity Policy at Clause 11.05-3 is to manage land use change and development in rural areas to promote agricultural and rural production. The following strategies are contained in the policy:

- *Prevent inappropriately dispersed urban activities in rural areas.*
- *Limit new housing development in rural areas, including:*
  - *Directing housing growth into existing settlements.*
  - *Discouraging development of isolated small lots in rural zones from use for dwellings, rural living or other incompatible uses.*
  - *Encouraging consolidation of existing isolated small lots in rural zones.*

It is considered that the proposal is inconsistent with the State Planning Policy Framework of the Melton Planning Scheme.

**Local Planning Policy Framework (LPPF)**

The following Local Planning Policy is relevant in the assessment of this application:

- Clause 22.08 – Rural Land Use Policy

The overarching objective of the Rural Land Use Policy at Clause 22.08 is to “*Preserve the integrity of the Shire’s rural land for sustainable and efficient rural land uses...*” The relevant strategies and principles in the Policy are outlined as follows:

- *To maintain the rural areas predominantly in sustainable, agricultural use and to provide opportunities for alternative, more intensive rural uses.*
- *To encourage the consolidation of lots to ensure more effective land management practices and infrastructure provision.*
- *To provide opportunities for rural living in controlled, well planned, economically sustainable developments which minimise environmental impact in locations accessible to infrastructure and services.*
- *Ensure that the location of future rural living uses does not prejudice the operation and expansion of efficient agricultural pursuits.*
- *Discourage rural living development and small lot excisions from occurring in areas of productive agricultural land or adjacent to areas identified for future stone extraction (as shown on the Physical Framework Plan).*
- *Ensure that all secondary lots created in the rural areas be connected to a reticulated water supply and the municipal sealed road network.*

It is considered that the proposal is generally inconsistent with the Local Planning Policy Framework of the Melton Planning Scheme.

## 2. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

1. *Managing our Growth: A clear vision to connect and develop sustainable City.*

1.1 *Strategically plan for a well designed and built City.*

## 3. Financial Considerations

No Council related financial considerations are involved with the application.

## 4. Consultation/Public Submissions

### Public notification of the application

The application was required to be advertised. The advertising was satisfactorily completed and no objections were received.

### Referral of the application

#### Internal

<b>Engineering Department</b>	No objections, subject to conditions.
<b>Environmental Services</b>	No objections, subject to conditions (including a revised Environmental Management Plan).
<b>Strategic Development</b>	The proposal is deemed to be inconsistent with Council's adopted Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan.  The subject land is located within Precinct 3, which has been recognised as one of the two (the other being Precinct 2) key agricultural corridors due to the presence of large allotments with minimal fragmentation and supported by the Sunbury Wastewater Treatment Facility and associated recycled water pipeline.

#### External

<b>DEPI</b>	No response received within 28 days.
<b>Western Water</b>	No objections, subject to conditions. In addition, outlined that the subdivision can easily and cost effectively comply with Council's Rural Land Use Policy in terms of provision of reticulated water. <i>Refer to <b>Appendix 3</b> – Location of water mains (provided by Western Water)</i>



## 5. Issues

### Planning Assessment

The proposal has been assessed against and deemed to be inconsistent with the relevant State and Local Planning Policies, Zoning provisions, and fails to satisfy the decision guidelines at Clause 65.

Council (at its Meeting on the 22 June 2009) refused a similar planning permit application for a two lot subdivision proposal at 544-618 Diggers Rest-Coimadai Road, Diggers Rest (PA2008/1903). The proposal was to create a primary lot (approximately 61.69 hectares); and a secondary lot (approximately 4.77 hectares). It should be noted that this property is located (geographically) approximately 2.5km from the subject land.

The applicant subsequently lodged a review against Council's decision at VCAT (*Tsourounakis v Melton SC [2009] VCAT 2602*). Council's decision was affirmed by VCAT; and no planning permit was granted for the proposal. In his determination, the member made the following comments in relation to the proposal:

*"The state planning policy framework generally provides that land in the green wedge area that is presently used for rural purposes should continue to be used for that purpose. **Clause 12.02 seeks to discourage rural living development and directs new residential development to existing settlements where urban services are available.** Clause 12.03-2 provides that opportunities for rural living should be provided in a manner that reduces the likelihood of adverse economic, social or environmental outcomes. **This is to be generally achieved by the orderly development of land for rural living precincts or settlements. This is usually undertaken by identifying the overall demand for rural living development through a municipal housing strategy.** Within such a strategy, suitable areas can be identified for rural living development, the appropriate zone can be applied to the area to facilitate its orderly development. Land can be subdivided and developed with the appropriate services and infrastructure. **A key part of this approach is to discourage ad hoc, isolated small lots in rural areas.** This approach is supported in clause 21.04-3 that directs development to be located within existing settlement boundaries so as to preserve broadacre rural landholdings." (Emphasis added)*

The guidance provided by these Clauses (12.02 and 12.03-2) are now contained in the Settlement Policy under Clause 11.04-7 and Clause 11.05-3.

It should be noted that two separate subdivision applications have been lodged for similar subdivisions, with one at the abutting property at 107-161 Leakes Road, and for land at 244 Leakes Road. The application at 107-161 Leakes Road proposes to subdivide the land into three allotments, and has also been put forward for Councils' consideration at this meeting. The application at 244 Leakes Road also proposes a three lot subdivision, and is currently going through the planning application process and will be reported to a future meeting of Council.

Although, each application is considered to be a separate entity, it would be important to keep in mind the cumulative impacts of these types of proposals as a whole. The cumulative impacts include the conflict between rural land uses and residential lands uses, and these issues have been outlined in previous VCAT decisions.

In *Parkworth Pty Ltd & Anor v Casey CC* [2002] VCAT 1594 (18 December 2002), the tribunal made the following comments in relation the rural/residential property values:

*Rural planning policy recognises that farming land is a very important economic asset of the state, quite apart from its value to the individual owners. However, its value for farming purposes can be compromised or lost if it is fragmented into small pieces that are not useful for farming purposes. The demand for rural houses and rural subdivisions comes from people wanting to exploit the residential value, as opposed to the farming value, of such land. There is a demand from people who like to live in the country on rural residential lots or hobby farms. Such use is essentially residential, rather than farming, in nature. Fragmentation into small holdings makes the land un-useful for genuine farming. It also inflates the per hectare value by endowing it with a residential rather than a farming value. The land value so inflated often means that farming activities cannot justify farming investment in it.*

In *Blackwood v Casey CC* [2003] VCAT 769 (27 June 2003), the tribunal made the following comments in relation to difference in amenity expectations between rural and residential landowners:

*It seems to me that allowing subdivision which adds to the number of rural residential lots in an area designated for farming and other compatible activities does not work towards achieving the outcomes that are called for by the relevant planning policies and strategies. This is the very problem which has been identified by the "Rural Zones Review" Reference Group when it said that "the subdivision of rural land, on its own, is not the problem....Subdivision only becomes a 'problem' when a residential use of the land is proposed and the nature of the land use changes from agriculture to residential. Landowners expectations change accordingly." This difference in expectations, particularly with respect to amenity and the provision of urban services, results in the fundamental difficulty associated with the provision of rural residential opportunities in areas designated for rural [as opposed to "rural living"] purposes.*

...

*The amenity conflict is not the only matter which can threaten the continued viability of existing farming enterprises. The prospect of being able to subdivide land into rural residential type lots may also heighten expectations and pressure for this to occur on other land.*

...

*This proposal would bring about an outcome whereby an additional rural residential lot is created. This is not appropriate in an area which is clearly designated for rural purposes and currently accommodates farming uses which are not compatible with the type of residential amenity that is anticipated and expected by rural residential "lifestylers".*

...

*It would be easy to examine the merits of this proposal as simply being the creation of "just one more lot". However, all applications for excisions involve "just one more lot". The excision of any lot must produce an outcome which is consistent with furthering the purpose of the zone and not one which adds to existing pressures which work toward undermining the continued viability of rural land use.*

Although, the applicant has indicated that the existing share-farming practices will be maintained on the primary allotment, there is nothing preventing the existing landowner from selling the smaller lot as a rural residential allotment, and seek approval to construct a new dwelling on the primary allotment.

## **6. Options**

Council can either support the application by issuing a Permit or not support the proposal by issuing a Notice of Refusal.

## **7. Conclusion**

The application has been assessed against the State Planning Policy Framework, Local Planning Policy Framework, Zone and Overlay provisions and Clause 65 of the Melton Planning Scheme.

It is considered that the proposal generally does not comply with the relevant requirements of the Planning Scheme.

Therefore, it is recommended that the application be refused.

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Locality Plan
2. Plans of Proposal
3. Location of water mains

**10. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Question asked of Council</b>
Alex Jinks	Noise impact relating to Melbourne Airport Master plan 2013.
Alex Jinks	Compensation to residents / ratepayers regarding airport noise impact.
Mario & Giuseppira Santucci	Impact to residence due to planned closure of Western Highway median Strip.
Androulla Touvanna	Register of all Public Questions.
Androulla Touvanna	Access to official copies Victorian Constitution Act 1975.
John Southall	Placement of attendance and Council briefings and meetings on website and in annual report.
John Goodman	Council's expectation in relation to their elected representatives.
John Goodman	Role of a Councillor.
David O'Connor	Correspondence to State Government officials reiterating the importance of accessible amenities for Diggers Rest.
David O'Connor	Consideration to change criteria of tipping at Melton Recycling Centre.
Glenn and Barbara Ford	Policy regarding Green Wedge Management Plan.
Glenn and Barbara Ford	Council policy regarding young farmers.

## 11. PRESENTATION OF STAFF REPORTS

### 11.1 AUTHORISATION OF AFFIXING THE COMMON SEAL OF COUNCIL

Author: Christie Shields- Governance Officer  
Presenter: Peter Bean- General Manager Corporate Services

#### PROCEDURAL MOTION

Crs Turner/Cugliari

That the recommendation as printed in items 11.1, 11.2, 11.4, 11.5 and 11.6 be adopted in block,

CARRIED

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

For Council to adopt the schedule of documents (**Appendix 1**) requiring the Common Seal of Council.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council Seal be affixed to the documentation as detailed in the Schedule for Authorising of Affixing of the Common Seal of Melton City Council dated 3 February 2015 and appended to this report (**Appendix 1**).

Crs Majdlik / Cugliari. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

Cr Matalowski arrived at 7.46pm.

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

Documents requiring the Common Seal of Council to be affixed are detailed in **Appendix 1**.

### 2. Background/Issues

Use of the Council Seal is required where Council as a body corporate is required to acquit a document or agreement for the purpose of performing its functions and exercising its powers.

The *Local Government Act 1989* prescribes that a Council must have a common seal, and that the common seal must –

- (a) Bear the name of the Council (which name may refer to the inhabitants of the municipal district) and any other word, letter, sign or device the Council determines should be included; and
- (b) Be kept at the Council office; and
- (c) Be used in accordance with the local laws of the Council.

Council's Meeting Procedure Local Law (2013) prescribes the use of Council's Common Seal and the authorized officers who have the authority to sign every document to which the common seal is affixed.

**3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

2. *A Well Governed and Leading Organisation: Operating with innovation, transparency, accountability and sustainability*

2.6 *Ensure timely compliance with statutory and regulatory obligations.*

**4. Financial Considerations**

There are no financial considerations relating to the use of the Council Seal.

**5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

Not applicable.

**6. Risk Analysis**

Ensuring that the Council Seal is only affixed in accordance with a resolution of Council controls the potential risk of the Seal being incorrectly affixed to a document.

**7. Options**

Not applicable.

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Schedule for Authorising of Affixing the Common Seal

## 11.2 COMMUNITY LEARNING BOARD MINUTES 30 OCTOBER AND 4 DECEMBER 2014

Presenter: Peter Blunden- Life Long Learning Officer

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to Council Minutes of the Community Learning Board meetings held on 30 October 2014 and 4 December 2014

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note the unconfirmed minutes of the Community Learning Board held on 30 October 2014 (Appendix 1) and 4 December 2014 (Appendix 2) and endorse the recommendations contained within those minutes.

Crs Majdlik/Cugliari. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

The Learning Board met on 30 October 2014 and 4 December 2014 and addressed the following items:

- Finalisation of the Draft Community Learning Plan 2015-18
- Progress reports from the Board's working parties
- The 'Melton a Global Learning City' report; detailing Melton's presentation at the UNESCO 2014 Asia – Pacific Expert Symposium on Global Citizenship in Changwon, Republic of South Korea.
- Acceptance of the City of Melton's Case Study of Melton as a Learning City by the UNESCO Institute of Lifelong Learning (UIL).
- Adoption of the Collective Impact Assessment Tool as a preferred tool to assess learning community partnerships by the International PASCAL Observatory.

The minutes of the 30 October 2014 and 4 December 2014 meetings are attached as **Appendices 1 and 2** respectively.

### 2. Background/Issues

The Community Learning Board meets bimonthly and considers matters relating to employment, education and lifelong learning within the City. The Learning Board promotes Melton as a Learning City.

Council established the Community Learning Board in 1998 to promote the importance of lifelong learning to the social and economic development of Melton. The Board is an Advisory Committee which presents its recommendations to Council. The Community Learning Board connects those involved within the City in these endeavours in a forum to

improve lifelong learning opportunities for the community. Membership is drawn from all learning sectors, industry and community organisations and also comprises a number of ex officio members from Council and other formal education service providers.

The Board has two working parties that concentrate on various elements of lifelong learning and implement the Community Learning Plan 2011-2014 through its Annual Action Plan. They are the Economic Development and Lifelong Learning Working Party and the Social Inclusion and Lifelong Learning Working Party. There is also a Kindergarten Working Party that is a joint activity of the City's Early Years Partnership and the Community Learning Board and the Schools Provisioning Working Party. Activities of these working parties are reported in Community Learning Board minutes.

A final draft of the new Community Learning Plan 2015-2018 has been endorsed by the Community Learning Board and is now ready for presentation to Council's Executive and Council for final approval.

### **3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

3. Diverse, Confident and Inclusive Communities: A culturally rich, active, safe and connected City
  - 3.4 *Provide lifelong learning opportunities to build social connections and self development*

### **4. Financial Considerations**

There are no financial implications for Council with the recommendations of the Committee as contained in the Minutes.

### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

The Community Learning Board is an Advisory Committee of Council and where public consultation and or submissions are identified as being appropriate the Board would recommend an appropriate consultation process to Council for endorsement.

### **6. Risk Analysis**

Being regularly informed on Community Learning Board activities assists Council in controlling any possible risk exposure.

### **7. Options**

The Community Learning Board is an Advisory Committee to Council and Council therefore has the discretion to accept/reject or amend its recommendations as Council deems appropriate.

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Community Learning Board Minutes 30 October 2014
2. Community Learning Board Minutes 4 December 2014



### 11.3 MELTON A LEARNING CITY: COMMUNITY LEARNING PLAN 2015-2018

Presenter: Peter Blunden- Life Long Learning Officer

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to Council for endorsement, *Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018* and the Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018.

Cr Carli left the chamber at 7.47 pm.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council endorses *Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018* and the Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018.

#### MOTION:

Crs Turner/Majdlik.

That Council adopt *Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018* and the Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018.

Cr Carli returned to the chamber at 7.50 pm.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

Council established the Community Learning Board in 1998 to promote the importance of lifelong learning to the social and economic development of Melton. The Community Learning Board connects those involved within the City in these endeavours in a forum to improve lifelong learning opportunities for the community. Membership is drawn from all learning sectors, industry and community organisations and also comprises a number of ex officio members from Council and other formal education service providers.

The Community Learning Board oversees the development, implementation and evaluation of the City of Melton's Community Learning Plans. Progress reports are provided to Council bi-monthly.

The Community Learning Board presents the Community Learning Plan 2015-2018 to Council for endorsement.

### 2. Background/Issues

The City of Melton's Community Learning Plan 2011-2014 was reviewed in 2014, and a new Plan developed to commence in 2015. This has been done in accordance with the Community Learning Board's current Terms of Reference and appropriate Council policies and guidelines.

Council endorsed the *Community Learning Plan 2011-2014 Evaluation Report: Melton a Learning City* and the Literature Review, *From a Learning Community to a Learning City*, at its October 2014 Ordinary Meeting.

The new Community Learning Plan, *Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018*, is structured similarly to the 2011-2014 Plan. It has priority themes for

Economic Development and Social Inclusion, as well as themes across the life cycle for Children, Youth and Adults. A new priority theme, Advocacy, has been introduced that will align to the City's advocacy strategy. The new Plan also outlines the implementation and evaluation methodology and the accountability and reporting processes as well as the Learning Board's governance structure.

There are some key features in the 2015-2018 Community Learning Plan that are a significant advance on previous learning plans. These include a commitment from the Community Learning Board to:

Forge community partnerships and agreements that tackle some of City's intergenerational social and economic issues related to learning, over time. This will be done by setting significant impact measurement targets that all partners agree to work towards and then tracking and measuring the impact made using the Board's Collective Impact Assessment Tool;

Identify Melton as a Learning City by adopting the UNESCO 'Framework of Key Features of Learning Cities' and the Australian Centre of Excellence for Local Government's 'Learning as a Driver of Change: Learning Community Framework'.

Actively build on our engagement and knowledge exchange with the UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning and the UNESCO Global Network of Learning Cities; and build on the relationships already developed with other local governments and learning communities across Australia and the world.

The Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018 outlines the key activities to be undertaken to achieve the Community Learning Plan's intended outcomes. A more detailed Annual Action Plan is developed each year guided by the Action Framework and ongoing evaluation.

The Community Learning Board believes that *Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018* has the potential to realise significant benefits for residents, contribute to improving Melton's community image and continue the regional, national and global recognition of Melton's best practice in this area.

Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018 is attached as **Appendix 1** and the Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018 is attached as Appendix 2.

### **3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

3. Diverse, Confident and Inclusive Communities: A culturally rich, active, safe and connected City

3.4 *Provide lifelong learning opportunities to build social connections and self development*

#### **4. Financial Considerations**

There are no financial implications for Council. The implementation of the Community Learning Plan is supported within Council's Operational Budget.

#### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

The Community Learning Board is an Advisory Committee of Council and where public consultation and or submissions are identified as being appropriate the Board would recommend an appropriate consultation process to Council for endorsement.

#### **6. Risk Analysis**

Being regularly informed on Community Learning Board activities assists Council in controlling any possible risk exposure. The Community Learning Plan 2015-2018 contributes to the successful implementation of the Council Plan 2013-2017.

#### **7. Options**

The Community Learning Board is an Advisory Committee to Council and Council therefore has the discretion to accept/reject or amend its recommendations as Council deems appropriate.

#### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Melton a Learning City: Community Learning Plan 2015-2018
2. Community Learning Plan Action Framework 2015-2018

## 11.4 LEISURE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 24 NOVEMBER 2014

**Author: Nicole Willis- Recreation Development Officer**  
**Presenter: Maurie Heaney- General Manager Community Services**

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the minutes of the Leisure Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 November 2014.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note the unconfirmed minutes of the Leisure Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 November 2014, as presented at **Appendix 1**.

Crs Majdlik/Cugliari. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

### REPORT

#### 1. Executive Summary

The Leisure Advisory Committee has been established to provide advice to Council on matters relating to leisure and recreation within the municipality.

Membership of the Committee is drawn from key stakeholders and subject matter experts to develop partnership responses to the local communities leisure and recreation needs.

The minutes of the 24 November 2014 meeting is attached at **Appendix 1** for Council awareness.

#### 2. Background/Issues

The purpose of the Committee is to provide advice and recommendations to Council relating to Leisure and Recreation matters within the municipality.

At its meeting of 24 November 2014, the Committee discussed the following:

- The actions from the last meeting
- Athletics/Hockey Facility Update
- Funding Announcements
- Sporting Clubs Alcohol Accord DRAFT
- Arnold's Creek Recreation Reserve Ovals/Pavilion Expression of Interest
- Community Engagement sessions

#### 3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

4. Community Health and Wellbeing: A City of people leading healthy and happy lives  
*4.3 Encourage our community to be physically active and healthy*

#### **4. Financial Considerations**

Operational expenses extending from the Leisure Advisory Committee are accommodated within Councils recurrent budgets.

#### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

The Leisure Committee is an Advisory Committee of Council and where public consultation and/or submissions are identified as being appropriate, the Committee will recommend an appropriate consultation process to Council for endorsement.

#### **6. Risk Analysis**

Failure to address and promote the strengths and opportunities for leisure and recreation in the municipality provides a risk to our growing community. To improve community health and wellbeing, Council must ensure that the community is aligned and aware of the Leisure and Recreation opportunities available.

#### **7. Options**

The Leisure Advisory Committee is a Committee to Council, therefore Council has the discretion to accept, reject or amend the Committee's recommendations, as Council deems appropriate.

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Leisure Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes 24 November 2014

## 11.5 DISABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 4 DECEMBER 2014

**Author: Warren Penna- Metro Access Project Officer**  
**Presenter: Maurie Heaney- General Manager Community Services**

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the minutes of the Disability Advisory Committee meeting held on 4 December 2014.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note the unconfirmed minutes of the Disability Advisory Committee meeting on 4 December 2014, as attached at **Appendix 1**.

Crs Majdlik/Cugliari. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

### REPORT

#### 1. Executive Summary

The Disability Advisory Committee (DAC) has been established to provide advice to Council on issues and barriers that affect people with a disability living, working, recreating or studying in the City of Melton.

Membership of the Committee is drawn from people with a disability, carers of people with a disability and community service providers who have a significant role in delivering services and responding to local disability priorities.

The DAC minutes of 4 December 2014 meeting are attached to this report as **Appendix 1**.

#### 2. Background/Issues

The DAC meets bi-monthly to consider matters in relation to the needs of people with a disability and to raise advocacy issues for Council to consider.

The DAC meeting on 4 December 2014 addressed the following:

- Discussion on access to streetscape redevelopments planned for McKenzie Street, Wallace Square and Bakery Square.
- Reported on the success and outcomes of the CARE Melton Expo 2014.
- Success of the Christmas Theme Melton Go Arty Respite Program.
- Reported on the publication of an article (Blog) on the Australian Centre for Local Government webpage about Melton City Council Disability Action Plan 2013 - 2017 development.
- Celebration planned for International Day of People with Disability.

#### 3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

3. Diverse, Confident and Inclusive Communities: A culturally rich, active, safe and connected City

3.1 *Provide an accessible range of services for all including children, young people, families and older adults*

#### **4. Financial Considerations**

Operational expenses and initiatives related to DAC are accommodated within Council's recurrent budget programs.

#### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

The DAC is an Advisory Committee of Council, and where public consultation and/or submissions are identified as being appropriate, the Committee will recommend an appropriate consultation process to Council for endorsement.

#### **6. Risk Analysis**

The DAC ensures continued meaningful engagement and dialogue with people with a disability, carers and community service providers and ensures relationships and resources are adequately aligned and assist Council in mitigating possible risk.

#### **7. Options**

The DAC is an Advisory Committee to Council, therefore has the discretion to accept, reject or amend the Committee's recommendations as Council deems appropriate

#### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Disability Advisory Committee Minutes 4 December 2014

## 11.6 COMMUNITY SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES 1 DECEMBER 2014

Author: Kate Hills- Health Promotion Officer  
Presenter: Maurie Heaney- General Manager Community Services

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the minutes of the Community Safety Committee meeting held on 1 December 2014.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note the unconfirmed minutes of the Community Safety Committee meeting held on 1 December 2014, as attached at **Appendix 1**.

Crs Majdlik/Cugliari. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

The Community Safety Committee has been established to provide a strategic, responsive and accountable model to promote community safety and address crime prevention efforts in the City.

Membership of the Committee is drawn from key stakeholders and subject matter experts. Committee members have an interest in developing partnership responses to local community safety priorities.

The Committee meets bi-monthly, with the minutes of the 1 December 2014 meeting provided at **Appendix 1**.

### 2. Background/Issues

The Community Safety Committee meets to consider matters relating to crime prevention, health and wellbeing and community safety.

The purpose of the Committee is to coordinate a multi-agency partnership response to prioritise community safety and crime prevention issues within the City.

At the meeting on 1 December 2014, the Committee addressed the following:

- Update and discussion on the development of the Safer City Plan 2014-2018, including a presentation from Council Officers providing an overview of the proposed framework for the Plan.
- Update on the Community Safety Day event held on 4 October 2014.
- Discussion on the structure of Community Safety Committee, including:
  - Maximising the value of the Community Safety Committee.
  - Meeting structure, frequency and membership.
- Update on Council's White Ribbon Action Team and White Ribbon Day event held on 25 November 2014 in support of a 'whole of community' response to preventing violence against women.



- Update on Council's Local Law Review.
- Update on the establishment of Council's Graffiti Management Team.

### **3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

3. Diverse, Confident and Inclusive Communities: A culturally rich, active, safe and connected City.

*3.6 Create a safer community through building a sense of belonging and community pride.*

### **4. Financial Considerations**

Operational expense and initiatives extending from the Community Safety Committee are accommodated within Council's current budgets.

### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

The Community Safety Committee is an Advisory Committee of Council and where public consultation and/or submissions are identified as being appropriate, the Committee will recommend an appropriate consultation process to Council for endorsement.

### **6. Risk Analysis**

The Community Safety Committee exists to provide Council with an avenue to collaboratively with community to address key community safety concerns. Failure to support the function of the committee may result in a decrease in attention to the issue of community safety. To improve community health and wellbeing, Council must ensure that ongoing attention is brought to matters of concern and that residents continue to have strong perceptions of safety within their local neighbourhoods.

### **7. Options**

The Community Safety Committee is an Advisory Committee to Council, therefore has the discretion to accept, reject or amend the Committee's recommendations as Council deems appropriate.

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Community Safety Committee Meeting Minutes 1 December 2014

## 11.7 DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY EXERCISED - 1 JULY 2014 TO 31 DECEMBER 2014

Author: Christie Shields- Governance Officer  
Presenter: Peter Bean- General Manager Corporate Services

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To advise Council of Delegations of Authority exercised for the period 1 July 2014 to 31 December 2014.

Crs Ramsey and Carli having declared a direct interest in Item 5 of the agenda left the chamber prior to any discussion taking place.

Cr Dunn assumed the role of acting Chairperson.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received for information.

Crs Majdlik/Cugliari. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

Delegations exercised for the period 1 July 2014 to 31 December 2014 are detailed in **Appendices 1 and 2**.

### 2. Background/Issues

Section 98 of the *Local Government Act 1989* sets out that:

A Council may by Instrument of Delegation delegate to a member of its staff any power, duty or function of a Council under this Act or any other Act other than -

1. This power of delegation; and
2. The power to declare a rate or charge; and
3. The power to borrow money; and
4. The power to approve any expenditure not contained in a budget approved by the Council; and
5. Any power, duty or function of the Council under Section 223; and
6. Any prescribed power.

The Chief Executive may also delegate any power to a member of Council staff to exercise any of his duties, powers or functions, except for his power to delegate. Council have previously resolved to periodically receive a report setting out specific delegations that have been enacted by staff.

Attached as **Appendices 1 and 2** are the delegations for the period 1 July 2014 to 31 December 2014. They are set out in two categories Planning and Building.

### **3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

2. *A Well Governed and Leading Organisation: Operating with innovation, transparency, accountability and sustainability*

2.6 *Ensure timely compliance with statutory and regulatory obligations.*

### **4. Financial Considerations**

There are no financial considerations regarding this report.

### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

There is no requirement for consultation or public submissions in consideration of this item.

### **6. Risk Analysis**

Reporting to Council significant delegations exercised by Officers provides oversight and limits the risk of inappropriate use of delegated authorities.

### **7. Options**

There are no options in consideration of this item.

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Planning Delegations
2. Building Delegations

## 11.8 ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND PLANS

Author: John Miller- Asset Management Coordinator

Presenter: Luke Shannon- General Manager Planning & Development

Crs Ramsey and Carli returned to the chamber at 7.53 pm.

Cr Dunn vacated the chair and resumed her place in the Chamber.

Cr Ramsey resumed the position of Chair.

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To have Council consider and adopt the Asset Management Strategy 2014 and Asset Management Plan 2014 (includes Buildings, Drainage, Roads and Open Space).

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt the Asset Management Strategy 2014 and Asset Management Plan 2014 as detailed in **Appendix 1** and **2**.

Crs Majdlik/Dunn. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

Council is involved in the MAV Advanced Step Asset Management Program which provides a clearly defined framework for developing appropriate processes and procedures in Asset Management. As part of this program Council is required to have Asset Management Strategy and Plans adopted and in place to achieve the minimum "CORE" Asset Management maturity under the Federal Government's National Asset Management Assessment Framework.

The Asset Management Strategy and Plans outline Councils management, financial, economic, engineering and other practices applied to its physical infrastructure assets with the objective of providing the required level of service and most cost effective manner.

### 2. Background/Issues

Council has a significant number of infrastructure assets under its control, worth approximately \$1 billion dollars in replacement value. These assets include:

- Buildings – Libraries, Civic Centre, Preschools and Kindergartens
- Roads – Pavements, surfaces, Kerb and Channel, Drainage and Road Furniture
- Bridges – Pedestrian and Road
- Parks and Recreation – Sporting ovals, playgrounds, gardens and sporting courts.
- Footpaths – Shared within parks and along roadsides.
- Drainage – Underground and overland.

The review and development of these Asset Management documents has identified some key challenges for this Council in the future, in particular the management of Council's infrastructure so as to optimize the life of its Assets. To deliver on this key challenge it is important that Council understands and responds to its future liabilities, provides a consistent

approach to Asset Management across all Asset Groups, continues to develop a sound foundation for data and systems and builds the internal capacity of its people to support the management of its assets.

The Strategic Actions in the Strategy (refer page 27) establishes the initiatives that Melton City Council must embrace to enhance its Asset Management capabilities whilst building efficiencies into its operations.

### **3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

1. Managing our Growth: A clear vision to connect and develop a sustainable City

*1.1 Strategically plan for a well designed and built City*

### **4. Financial Considerations**

There are no direct costs incurred by the adoption of these Asset Management documents. The Asset Management Strategy implementation plan and Asset Management Plan improvement actions will be carried out using existing resources and budgets within the Asset Management team.

### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

The development of these documents involved ongoing discussions with the Asset Management Working Group and numerous business units within Council including Operations, Leisure and Facilities, Finance, Planning Services and Community Planning.

### **6. Risk Analysis**

The ultimate costs of not managing our Assets in a responsible manner in accordance with these Asset Management documents would be considerable.

### **7. Options**

Nil

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Appendix 1 - Asset Management Strategy 2014
2. Appendix 2 - Asset Management Plan 2014

## **11.9 PLANNING APPLICATION PA 2014/4593 - THREE LOT RURAL SUBDIVISION, AND THE USE AND DEVELOPMENT OF LOT 2 FOR THE PURPOSE OF A DWELLING AND OUTBUILDING AT 107-161 LEAKES ROAD, PLUMPTON**

**Author: Cam Luong- Development Planner**

**Presenter: Bob Baggio- Manager Planning and Environment**

### **PURPOSE OF REPORT**

To consider a planning application for three lot rural subdivision, and the use and development of lot 2 for the purpose of a dwelling and outbuilding at 107-161 Leakes Road, Plumpton.

Cr Cugliari advised the Chair that she had declared an interest in this matter in Item 5 of the Agenda and will remain in the Chamber.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council issue a Notice of Refusal for the above proposal on the following grounds:

1. The proposal is inconsistent with the State Planning Policy Framework of the Melton Planning Scheme, and in particular, Clause 11 of the Melton Planning Scheme relating to the Green Wedges and Rural Productivity, which discourages development of isolated small lots in rural areas for rural living.
2. The proposal is contrary to the purpose of the Green Wedge Zone pursuant to Clause 35.04 of the Melton Planning Scheme. The proposal fails to recognise, protect and conserve green wedge land for agricultural purposes and does not encourage sustainable land management practices, sustainable farming activities or provide opportunity for the retention of productive agricultural uses.
3. The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives and requirements of Council's Rural Land Use Policy. In particular, the proposed subdivision will result in the fragmentation of the existing rural areas, does not respect the rural character of the area and will reduce the amount of rural land available for productive and sustainable agricultural uses.
4. The proposal is inconsistent with the principles of the State Government's Plan Melbourne Strategy, which recognises that "*some areas around Melbourne with very fertile soil, essential for highly productive agricultural land uses, are under threat from competing land uses such as urban encroachment and rural residential development*"; and outlines that "*Important areas need to be protected to ensure strategically significant agricultural land is not permanently lost.*"
5. The proposal is inconsistent with Council's adopted *Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan, 23 September 2014*. In particular, the proposal is deemed to be inconsistent with the strategic direction of Precinct 3 and the actions (recommendations) identified as part of the Green Wedge Management Plan.
6. The proposal is inconsistent with the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1), which seeks to discourage development, buildings and works above the 180 metre contour line of Mt Kororoit.
7. The siting of the outbuilding on Lot 2 is inconsistent with Council's Stores and Outbuildings Policy at Clause 22.10 of the Melton Planning Scheme. In particular, the *Shire of Melton Store and Outbuilding Policy and Guidelines (2005)* outlines that outbuildings and stores should not normally be sited any closer to the road than the front elevation of a dwelling. In addition, it outlines that outbuildings and stores should not be visually obtrusive from the road frontages and should not adversely impact upon sightlines to hills (which includes Mt Kororoit).

### **Motion**

Crs Cugliari/Carli

That Council resolves to issue a Planning Permit for the three lot subdivision, and the use and

development of proposed Lot 2 for the purpose of a dwelling and a outbuilding of land at 107-161 Leakes Road, Plumpton subject to the following conditions:

1. Before the Plan of Subdivision is certified under the Subdivision Act 1988, the owner of the land must have an Environmental Management Plan for the property prepared by a suitably qualified professional, and subsequently endorsed by the Responsible Authority to form part of this permit. The Environmental Management Plan must include, but is not limited to:
  - a) The protection of native vegetation if any on the property, including a statement to the effect that native vegetation (including on the adjoining roadsides) must not be removed, lopped or adversely impacted upon without a further planning permit;
  - b) The designation of an appropriate building and effluent disposal envelope for the vacant lot.
  - c) Mapped areas of any native grassland;
  - d) The protection of any native vegetation as required throughout the site, clear of any development envelopes and access driveways;
  - e) Control, removal and exclusion of environmental weeds;
  - f) Machinery must be washed down prior to entering the site to minimize the introduction and spread of weeds and pathogens;
  - g) No fill shall be brought onto the property, so as to minimise the introduction of weeds and pathogens;
  - h) Induction of contractors to identify environmentally sensitive zones must be undertaken before subdivision works commence.

Once endorsed, the Environmental Management Plan will form part of the permit.

2. Before the development starts, amended plans to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority must be submitted to and approved by the Responsible Authority. When approved, the plans will be endorsed and will then form part of the permit. The plans must be drawn to scale with dimensions and three copies must be provided. The plans must be generally in accordance with the plans submitted with the application but modified to show:
  - a) The outbuilding (shed) is relocated so that it is setback a greater distance from Leakes Road than the proposed dwelling for Lot 2;
  - b) A schedule of colours, materials, and finishes.
3. Before the development starts, a landscape plan prepared by a person suitably qualified or experienced in landscape design must be submitted to and approved by the Responsible Authority. When approved, the plan will be endorsed and will then form part of the permit. The plan must be drawn to scale with dimensions and three copies must be provided. The plan must show:
  - a) The provision of landscaping along the northern and western sides of the outbuilding (shed).
  - b) Location and identification of all proposed plants. The proposed plants must be indigenous and of local provenance.
  - c) A planting schedule of all proposed trees, shrubs and ground covers, including botanical

names, common names, pot sizes, sizes at maturity, and quantities of each plant. The proposed plants must be indigenous and of local provenance.

All species selected must be to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

4. The development as shown on the endorsed plans must not be altered without the written consent of the Responsible Authority.
5. The subdivision as shown on the endorsed plan must not be altered or modified without the written consent of the Responsible Authority.
6. Prior to the issue of a Statement of Compliance for the subdivision, the owner of the land must enter into an agreement with the Responsible Authority pursuant to Section 173 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987, such that:
  - a) All buildings or works associated with a dwelling must be constructed on or carried out within a nominated Development Envelope;
  - b) The land must not be further subdivided so as to create any additional lots in accordance with the requirements of the Green Wedge Zone in the Melton Planning Scheme;
  - c) Any dwelling constructed on any allotment hereby permitted must be connected to a wastewater treatment and disposal facility in accordance with a land capability assessment undertaken by a suitably qualified person;
  - d) There must be ongoing implementation of an endorsed Environmental Management Plan, which is to be approved by the Responsible Authority.

The agreement must be registered on Title pursuant to Section 181 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 and all costs associated with the preparation, execution and lodgement of the agreement on Title must be borne by the owner of the land.

7. The outbuilding must not be used for habitable, commercial or industrial purposes.
8. The external cladding and trim of all walls and the roof of the outbuilding must be of a non-reflective nature in muted shades to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority and must thereafter be maintained to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
9. Access to the dwelling must be provided via an all-weather road with dimensions adequate to accommodate emergency vehicles.
10. The dwelling must be connected to a reticulated sewerage system or if not available, the waste water must be treated and retained on-site in accordance with the State Environment Protection Policy (Waters of Victoria) under the Environment Protection Act 1970.
11. The dwelling must be connected to a reticulated electricity supply or have an alternative energy source.
12. Drainage of both lots must be to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
13. Prior to the issue of a Statement of Compliance for the subdivision, each lot must be provided with a vehicle crossing to the requirements and satisfaction of the Responsible Authority. In this regard, the vehicle crossing/s must be constructed to a rural standard in accordance with Councils' Standard drawings and a road opening permit must be obtained prior to construction. Engineering plans showing the locations of vehicle crossings for the



subdivided allotments must be submitted to the Responsible Authority prior to construction

14. Any existing works affected by the subdivision works shall be reinstated at no cost and to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
15. All existing and proposed easements and sites for existing or required utility services and roads on land must be set aside on the plan of subdivision submitted for certification in favour of the relevant authority for which the easement or site is to be created.
16. The owner of the land must enter into agreements with the relevant authorities for the provision of water supply, drainage, sewerage facilities, electricity, gas and telecommunications services to each lot shown on the endorsed plan in accordance with that authority's requirements and the relevant legislation at the time.
17. The plan of subdivision submitted for certification under the Subdivision Act 1988 must be referred to the relevant authority in accordance with Section 8 of the Act.
18. The following requirements of Western Water must be satisfied prior to the issue of a Statement of Compliance for the subdivision:
  - a) Payment of new customer contributions for the additional allotment created by the development, such amount being determined by Western Water at the time of payment;
  - b) Provision of reticulated water and associated construction works to each allotment, at the developers expense and to the satisfaction of Western Water;
  - c) Any existing water service which crosses any of the proposed allotment boundaries within the proposed development must be disconnected and relocated at the developer's expense, to be wholly within one allotment only and to the satisfaction of Western Water;
  - d) The operator under this permit shall be obliged to enter into an Agreement with Western Water relating to the construction of any water works required. The form of such Agreement shall be to the satisfaction of Western Water. The owner/applicant shall make a written request to Western Water for the terms and conditions of the agreement.
19. This permit will expire if a plan of subdivision is not certified for the subdivision hereby permitted within two (2) years of the date of this permit and following certification, if a Statement of Compliance is not issued for the subdivision hereby permitted within five (5) years of the date of certification of the plan of subdivision.

**NOTES:**

- No native vegetation may be removed from the site or road reserve without the further written permission of the Responsible Authority.
- The permit-holder shall contact Council's Environmental Health Department to discuss requirements on applying for a permit to alter/install of the onsite waste water management system.

Cr Ramsey called for a division of Council

**For:**

Crs Carli, Cugliari, Dunn, Majdlik, Matalewski, Ramsey and Turner

**Against:**

Nil

The Mayor declared the division carried unanimously.

**REPORT****1. Background****Executive Summary**

Application No.:	2014/4593
Applicant:	Mr G W Ford C/- Viney and Tomkinson

- The proposal is to subdivide the land into three allotments, and for the use and development of Lot 2 for the purpose of a dwelling and outbuilding.
- The site is within the Green Wedge Zone and is affected by the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1).
- No objections were received.
- The proposal does not meet the relevant requirements of the Council's Planning Scheme.
- Refusal is recommended.

**The Land and Surrounding Area**

The subject site has an area of 64.70ha and is located on the eastern side of Leakes Road (and in close proximity to the intersection between Holden Road and Leakes Road). Other features of the site are as follows:

- The land is rectangular in shape with a western frontage of 402.94 metres to Leakes Road.
- The site is currently used for agricultural purposes (primarily cropping and grazing) and is part of a larger property holding (contained in separate land titles). The applicant has identified 625 Holden Road, Diggers Rest, and 803-850 Plumpton Road, Plumpton as part of the land holding.
- The applicant has also indicated that the site has access to reticulated water, power and telecommunications, as well as a recycled water pipeline (Sunbury Melton Recycled Water Scheme).

The surrounding area is characterised by its broad expansive rural landscapes and vistas which exist across this area. The openness of this landscape is emphasised by the relative flatness and by the current large lots sizes (generally over 40ha) and lack of development.

*Refer to **Appendix 1** for a locality plan.*

**The Application**

The application proposes to subdivide the land into three allotments, and for the use and development of Lot 2 for the purpose of a dwelling and outbuildings.

The proposal is summarised as follows:

- Lot 1 will form the primary lot and will be approximately 62.70ha in area. The lot will continue to be used for agricultural purposes. The lot will be accessed via the existing crossover and driveway off Leakes Road, at the south-west corner of the lot.
- Lots 2 and 3 will each be approximately 1ha in area. They will be located in the north-west corner of the lot, adjoining each other along Leakes Road. The lots will be accessed via a carriageway easement across Lot 1, generally contained within the existing fire break along the western boundary of the property. It should be noted that

both of these allotments are entirely within the Significant Landscape Overlay (which applies to areas in proximity to Mt Kororoit).

- A dwelling and outbuilding are proposed for Lot 2, within the proposed building envelope. The dwelling will be located to the north-west corner of the lot. The applicant has indicated that the landowner intends to more actively assist his father in running the farming property in the future and is required to reside on the property to assist with the daily operations and security of the enterprise. A brief description of the works is as follows:
  - The proposed dwelling will be single-storey in height, and constructed with a veneer finish with a hipped roof, and gabled in-fill elements. The dwelling will have an overall area of approximately 285.72 square metres. The plans lack details on materials, colours, and finishes.
  - The outbuilding will be 12m x 24m and will have a height of 5.52 metres at the apex. The plans lack details on materials, colours, and finishes.
- To justify their proposal the applicant has indicated the following:

*“...The current owners intend to construct a dwelling on Lot 3 in the future, and will apply for a planning permit for that use at a more appropriate time. Their current dwelling on Holden Road will be highly impacted by the Outer Metropolitan Ring Transport Corridor when it is constructed and once it is in operation. Land set aside for the acquisition of the Corridor surrounds their current dwelling (refer to Figure 3) and will likely significantly affect their current daily operations on the farm if they continue to operate their enterprise from that location once the Corridor is under construction and in operation. The proposed new lot (Lot 3) will enable them to move away from the transport corridor and remain on the property to run the daily operations and security of the agricultural business. Locating Lot 3 adjacent to Lot 2 is best suited to minimize impacts on agricultural operations on the land and access existing and nearby services.*

*The proposed locations of Lots 2 and 3 provide a good oversight of the whole farming property, which is important for the ongoing security of the farming enterprise into the future...”*

Refer to **Appendix 2** for plans of the proposal

### Planning Controls

The subject land is located in the Green Wedge Zone (GWZ) and is affected by the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1) under the provisions of the Melton Planning Scheme. Pursuant to Clause 35.04-3 of the Scheme, a permit is required to subdivide the land. A proposal to subdivide land within the Green Wedge Zone must meet the conditions specified in the Schedule to the Green Wedge Zone.

The Schedule breaks down the rural land of Melton into three different groupings (this being Areas A, B and C). The subject land is located in Area A. The Schedule outlines the following requirements for subdivision within Area A:

- *“The number of lots into which the land may be subdivided is to be calculated using the following formula:  $N=A/20$ .*
- *Where N (rounded down) is the number of lots that can be created and A is the area of the land in hectares.*
- *The subdivision must comprise one large primary lot. The secondary lots must be at least 1.0 hectares and must be no larger than 5.0 hectares.*

- *An agreement under Section 173 of the Act must be entered into with the owner of each lot created which ensures that the land may not be further subdivided under this provision.”*

The proposed subdivision will meet the requirements outlined in the Schedule.

### **Background regarding the rural subdivision provisions in the Green Wedge Zone**

Amendment L56 to the Melton Planning Scheme (1996) involved the deletion of the General Farming A and B Zones and replaced them with the Rural (Agricultural) Zone. The zone was subsequently replaced with the Green Wedge Zone, but the subdivision provisions remain.

It is important for Council to note that in supporting Amendment L56, Council identified that “the amendment is first and foremost a rural based amendment intended to protect and enhance the declining rural and agricultural areas of Melton by producing a greater degree of flexibility in development controls (particularly in relation to subdivision) than would ordinarily be the case in rural zones and to use the economic gains to landowners from these concessions to consolidate and enhance the remaining rural landholdings into viable units. Such a strategy involves a careful balance of the competing views of those public authorities who wish to avoid further fragmentation of rural areas and private land owners who want greater development options”. The aim is to respond in part to the pressures for further land fragmentation on the urban fringe, but to manage the fragmentation in a sustainable manner to encourage corresponding aggregation where possible (Panel Report, Page13).

The amendment was also designed to introduce a more flexible approach to rural land use and management by “fostering developments that are sustainable and feature a high standard of environmental management by rural land owners”.

The proposed subdivision is not consistent with the purpose and intent of Amendment L56. The application provides no justification of how the economic gains to the owner of the subject land will protect or enhance the existing rural land holdings into viable units.

### **Green Wedge Management Plan**

Section 60(1A)(g) of the *Planning and Environment Act* outlines that Council may consider any adopted strategic plan, policy document, code or guideline, when assessing an application.

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 23 September 2014, Council adopted the Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan in line with State Government requirements. The Management Plan recognises that the subject site is located within Precinct 3 titled “Leakes Road and Holden Road”. This precinct is one of two recognised for agriculture within this green wedge. Whilst it is recognised that farming is difficult in this area due to low rainfall, urban pressures and land mismanagement occurring, both this Precinct, and Precinct 2 have been recognised as two key agricultural corridors due to the presence of large allotments with minimal fragmentation and both have access to the Sunbury Wastewater Treatment Facility and associated recycled water pipeline.

The Management Plan describes Precinct 3 as *‘broad expansive rural landscapes and vistas [which] exist across this area...the openness of this landscape is emphasised by the relative flatness and by the current large lots sizes (generally over 40ha) and lack of development. This openness should be taken into consideration when considering future planning permit applications in this area.’*

The Management Plan outlines a number of Actions for Precinct 3; the Actions (recommendations) which are relevant to the assessment of this application are as follows:

Action	Assessment
<p><b>Action L10 a7</b></p> <p>Pursue changes to introduce a flat 30ha minimum lot size as an 'interim' measure (subject to approvals), in the Leakes Road- Holden Road Precinct until optimal agricultural uses and associated lot sizes are confirmed.</p>	<p>The Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan identifies that the current schedule to the Green Wedge Zone, which includes the subdivision formula <math>N=A/20</math> results in poor land management outcomes.</p> <p>It therefore recommends a future amendment to the Planning Scheme to replace the rural lot excision provisions with the flat minimum lot size.</p>
<p><b>Action L2 a1</b></p> <p>Work with Western Water to prepare a 'recycled water based agriculture' business plan.</p> <p><b>Action L2 a2</b></p> <p>On the basis of the findings of the agricultural business plan, pursue any required updates to the identified subdivision controls to best facilitate agricultural uses.</p>	<p>The Management Plan recommends that Council prepare a Recycled Water Business Plan with Western Water to determine the most appropriate allotment sizes for improved land management outcomes and sustainable agricultural practices.</p> <p>Whilst this Business Plan may support the subdivision of land similar to what is being proposed, this work has not yet been done hence the proposed subdivision is premature and should not be supported at this stage.</p>
<p><b>Action L10 a8</b></p> <p>Introduce relevant controls to discourage strip development along main roads in the Leakes Road – Holden Road Precinct, outline requirements for Sustainable Land Management Plans, and recognise the ongoing quarry uses and associated buffers.</p>	<p>Despite the permit application identifying that the primary (larger) lot will be used for agricultural purposes, approving the two secondary lots, including one with a proposed dwelling, will result in the possibility of having three new dwellings, two of which will be located along Leakes Road.</p> <p>The Management Plan does not support this.</p>
<p><b>Action E7 a1</b></p> <p>Explore opportunities for identification of the Mount Kororoit area as a destination and investigate opportunities for recognising this.</p>	<p>The proposed subdivision includes land which is within the Significant Landscape Overlay – recognising the contours of Mount Kororoit. Although it is noted that previous approval was given for a dwelling on this allotment, this was for a single dwelling on the 64.7ha lot. Approval of a three lot subdivision within this location increases the potential for compromising the scenic landscape value of Mt Kororoit and the SLO, which is applied to limit development within the environmentally sensitive area.</p>

The proposed three lot subdivision is considered to be inconsistent with the strategic direction of Precinct 3 and the actions (recommendations) identified as part of the Green Wedge Management Plan. In particular, the subdivision will result in the fragmentation of land which is designated for agricultural purposes without adequate justification through a Recycled Water Business Plan, and may impact on views and environmental qualities of Mount Kororoit which is considered to be an environmentally sensitive location.

**Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1)**

The purpose of the Significant Landscape Overlay (Schedule 1) is to protect and conserve volcanic hills and cones from inappropriate development and to help to conserve the existing visual amenity and rural landscapes. In particular, the policy outlines that in order to protect these volcanic cones from development, buildings and works will be discouraged above the 180 metre contour line for Mt Kororoit.

**Planning Policy Framework**

The following State Planning Policies are relevant in the assessment of this application:

- Clause 11.04-7 – Green Wedges
- Clause 11.05-3 – Rural Productivity.

The purpose of the Green Wedge Policy at Clause 11.04-7 is to protect the green wedges of Metropolitan Melbourne from inappropriate development. One of the strategies of the policy is to “*Consolidate new residential development within existing settlements and in locations where planned services are available and green wedge area values can be protected*”.

The purpose of the Rural Productivity Policy at Clause 11.05-3 is to manage land use change and development in rural areas to promote agricultural and rural production. The following strategies are contained in the policy:

- *Prevent inappropriately dispersed urban activities in rural areas.*
- *Limit new housing development in rural areas, including:*
  - *Directing housing growth into existing settlements.*
  - *Discouraging development of isolated small lots in rural zones from use for dwellings, rural living or other incompatible uses.*
  - *Encouraging consolidation of existing isolated small lots in rural zones.*

It is considered that the proposal is inconsistent with the State Planning Policy Framework of the Melton Planning Scheme.

**Local Planning Policy Framework (LPPF)**

The following Local Planning Policy is relevant in the assessment of this application:

- Clause 22.08 – Rural Land Use Policy
- Clause 22.10 – Stores and Outbuilding Policy.

The overarching objective of the Rural Land Use Policy at Clause 22.08 is to “*Preserve the integrity of the Shire’s rural land for sustainable and efficient rural land uses...*” The relevant strategies and principles in the Policy are outlined as follows:

- *To maintain the rural areas predominantly in sustainable, agricultural use and to provide opportunities for alternative, more intensive rural uses.*
- *To encourage the consolidation of lots to ensure more effective land management practices and infrastructure provision.*
- *To provide opportunities for rural living in controlled, well planned, economically sustainable developments which minimise environmental impact in locations accessible to infrastructure and services.*
- *Ensure that the location of future rural living uses does not prejudice the operation and expansion of efficient agricultural pursuits.*

- *Discourage rural living development and small lot excisions from occurring in areas of productive agricultural land or adjacent to areas identified for future stone extraction (as shown on the Physical Framework Plan).*
- *Ensure that all secondary lots created in the rural areas be connected to a reticulated water supply and the municipal sealed road network.*

The Stores and Outbuilding Policy at Clause 22.10 provides guidance in terms of siting, setbacks from adjoining properties, the provision of adequate landscaping, scale and height of buildings. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that potential adverse amenity impacts, in particular visual amenity is minimised.

It is considered that the proposal is generally inconsistent with the Local Planning Policy Framework of the Melton Planning Scheme.

## 2. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

1. *Managing our Growth: A clear vision to connect and develop sustainable City.*
  - 1.1 *Strategically plan for a well designed and built City.*

## 3. Financial Considerations

No Council related financial considerations are involved with the application.

## 4. Consultation/Public Submissions

### Public notification of the application

The application was required to be advertised. The advertising was satisfactorily completed and no objections were received.

### Referral of the application

#### Internal

<b>Engineering Department</b>	No objections, subject to conditions
<b>Environmental Services</b>	No objection
<b>Health Services</b>	No objection to this proposal subject to adherence to the accompanying Land Capability Assessment
<b>Strategic Development</b>	<p>The proposal is deemed to be inconsistent with Council's adopted Western Plains North Green Wedge Management Plan.</p> <p>The subject land is located within Precinct 3, which has been recognised as one of the two (the other being Precinct 2) key agricultural corridors due to the presence of large allotments with minimal fragmentation and supported by the Sunbury Wastewater Treatment Facility and associated recycled water pipeline.</p>

**External**

<b>Department of State Development Business and Innovation</b>	No objections
<b>Western Water</b>	No objections, subject to conditions.
<b>Tenix</b>	No objections, subject to conditions.
<b>Melbourne Water</b>	No response received
<b>Powercor</b>	No response received

**5. Issues****Planning Assessment**

The proposal has been assessed against and deemed to be inconsistent with the relevant State and Local Planning Policies, Zoning provisions, and fails to satisfy the decision guidelines at Clause 65.

Council (at its Meeting on the 22 June 2009) refused a similar planning permit application for a two lot subdivision proposal at 544-618 Diggers Rest-Coimadai Road, Diggers Rest (PA2008/1903). The proposal was to create a primary lot (approximately 61.69 hectares); and a secondary lot (approximately 4.77 hectares). It should be noted that this property is located (geographically) approximately 2.5km from the subject land.

The applicant subsequently lodged a review against Council's decision at VCAT (*Tsourounakis v Melton SC [2009] VCAT 2602*). Council's decision was affirmed by VCAT; and no planning permit was granted for the proposal. In his determination, the member made the following comments in relation to the proposal:

*"The state planning policy framework generally provides that land in the green wedge area that is presently used for rural purposes should continue to be used for that purpose. **Clause 12.02 seeks to discourage rural living development and directs new residential development to existing settlements where urban services are available.** Clause 12.03-2 provides that opportunities for rural living should be provided in a manner that reduces the likelihood of adverse economic, social or environmental outcomes. **This is to be generally achieved by the orderly development of land for rural living precincts or settlements. This is usually undertaken by identifying the overall demand for rural living development through a municipal housing strategy.** Within such a strategy, suitable areas can be identified for rural living development, the appropriate zone can be applied to the area to facilitate its orderly development. Land can be subdivided and developed with the appropriate services and infrastructure. **A key part of this approach is to discourage ad hoc, isolated small lots in rural areas.** This approach is supported in clause 21.04-3 that directs development to be located within existing settlement boundaries so as to preserve broadacre rural landholdings." (Emphasis added)*

The guidance provided by these Clauses (12.02 and 12.03-2) are now contained in the Settlement Policy under Clause 11.04-7 and Clause 11.05-3.

It should be noted that two separate subdivision applications have been lodged for similar subdivisions, with one at the abutting property at 1-105 Leakes Road, and for land at 244 Leakes Road. The application at 1-105 Leakes Road proposes to subdivide the land into two lots, and has also been put forward for Council's consideration at this meeting. The application at 244 Leakes Road proposes a three lot subdivision, and is currently going through the planning application process and will be reported to a future meeting of Council.



Although, each application is considered to be a separate entity, it would be important to keep in mind the cumulative impacts of these types of proposals as a whole. The cumulative impacts include the conflict between rural land uses and residential lands uses, and these issues have been outlined in previous VCAT decisions.

In *Parkworth Pty Ltd & Anor v Casey CC* [2002] VCAT 1594 (18 December 2002), the tribunal made the following comments in relation the rural/residential property values:

*Rural planning policy recognises that farming land is a very important economic asset of the state, quite apart from its value to the individual owners. However, its value for farming purposes can be compromised or lost if it is fragmented into small pieces that are not useful for farming purposes. The demand for rural houses and rural subdivisions comes from people wanting to exploit the residential value, as opposed to the farming value, of such land. There is a demand from people who like to live in the country on rural residential lots or hobby farms. Such use is essentially residential, rather than farming, in nature. Fragmentation into small holdings makes the land un-useful for genuine farming. It also inflates the per hectare value by endowing it with a residential rather than a farming value. The land value so inflated often means that farming activities cannot justify farming investment in it.*

In *Blackwood v Casey CC* [2003] VCAT 769 (27 June 2003), the tribunal made the following comments in relation to difference in amenity expectations between rural and residential landowners:

*It seems to me that allowing subdivision which adds to the number of rural residential lots in an area designated for farming and other compatible activities does not work towards achieving the outcomes that are called for by the relevant planning policies and strategies. This is the very problem which has been identified by the "Rural Zones Review" Reference Group when it said that "the subdivision of rural land, on its own, is not the problem...Subdivision only becomes a 'problem' when a residential use of the land is proposed and the nature of the land use changes from agriculture to residential. Landowners expectations change accordingly." This difference in expectations, particularly with respect to amenity and the provision of urban services, results in the fundamental difficulty associated with the provision of rural residential opportunities in areas designated for rural [as opposed to "rural living"] purposes.*

...

*The amenity conflict is not the only matter which can threaten the continued viability of existing farming enterprises. The prospect of being able to subdivide land into rural residential type lots may also heighten expectations and pressure for this to occur on other land.*

...

*This proposal would bring about an outcome whereby an additional rural residential lot is created. This is not appropriate in an area which is clearly designated for rural purposes and currently accommodates farming uses which are not compatible with the type of residential amenity that is anticipated and expected by rural residential "lifestylers".*

...

*It would be easy to examine the merits of this proposal as simply being the creation of "just one more lot". However, all applications for excisions involve "just one more lot". The excision of any lot must produce an outcome which is consistent with furthering the purpose of the zone and not one which adds to existing pressures which work toward undermining the continued viability of rural land use.*

## **6. Options**

Council can either support the application by issuing a Permit or not support the proposal by issuing a Notice of Refusal.

## **7. Conclusion**

The application has been assessed against the State Planning Policy Framework, Local Planning Policy Framework, Zone and Overlay provisions and Clause 65 of the Melton Planning Scheme.

It is considered that the proposal generally does not comply with the relevant requirements of the Planning Scheme.

Therefore, it is recommended that the application be refused.

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Appendix 1 - Locality Plan
2. Appendix 2 - Plans of Proposal

## 11.10 JUNIOR COUNCIL PROGRAM

**Author: Rhona Pedretti- Acting Family Youth and Housing Manager**  
**Presenter: Maurie Heaney- General Manager Community Services**

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide Council with an update on the Junior Council initiative.

Cr Dunn left the chamber at 8.03 pm

Cr Dunn returned to the chamber at 8.04 pm.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council endorse the continuation of a Junior Council program until end of 2017 for the municipality.

Crs Majdlik/Turner. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

A report was presented and endorsed at the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 13 December 2012 recommending that a consultation process with schools and youth community based groups occur to ascertain the level of interest in the Junior Council initiative, with a report being provided to Council detailing the response.

All City of Melton State, independent and Catholic Education Office secondary and P-9 schools in the municipality were included in the consultation. Additionally, local Scouts and Girl Guides troupes were also consulted.

At the end of March 2013, Creekside College, Staughton College, Southern Cross Grammar and Springside College responded to the invitation to register an expression of interest in the initiative.

The expression of interest was sufficient to recommend initiating the Junior Council program for a trial period of 18 months. It was expected that once initiated, interest from other schools would be received and additional students co-opted into the program.

As part of the review process that comprises this progress report on the initiative, engagement data from the recent Youth Strategy process, and best-practice benchmarking of municipal Junior Council's was undertaken.

As a result of this review process, it is recommended that the Junior Council be continued with appropriate refinements.

### 2. Background/Issues

As outlined in the report to Council at the Ordinary Meeting on 13 December 2012, the Junior Council initiative was designed to build upon the established network of Student Representative Councils (SRCs) in the local school system by convening a bi-monthly combined meeting of nominated delegates from local school SRCs. The bi-monthly meeting was to feature a guest speaker, an open debate on a topic nominated by Youth Councillors, and a section for general business where delegates can raise items for further investigation by Youth Councillors.

The target age range for nominated delegates was to be students in years seven (7) to ten (10), with no more than two (2) delegates from each secondary school being eligible. Gender equity will be promoted by ensuring that delegates consist of one (1) boy and one (1) girl from each school.

The proposed meeting schedule involved three (3) meetings and one (1) presentation to Council in the period June to December 2013, and six (6) meetings and two (2) presentations to Council in the period February to December 2014.

The Junior Council initiative required allocation of existing resources within the Youth Services operational budget during the trial period. It was recommended that this approach be taken to enable a quick commencement of the program and also to enable an accurate quantification of required resources to be made through the trial period.

The Youth Strategy (2014 – 2017) was endorsed by Council at the Ordinary Council meeting on 21 October 2014. Young people were engaged throughout the Youth Strategy planning process to determine the need for future youth programs and services.

Increased opportunities for participation in local decision making were identified as a priority area throughout the engagement process, and it is envisaged that Junior Council continue to function as a civic engagement program alongside the Youth Advisory Committee (YAC) with new and complimentary program design features. Junior Council has also been able to capitalise on the skills and experience of Whitten Project graduates through providing pathways into Junior Council in order to extend their learning.

As part of the review process, municipal Junior Council models were benchmarked at the regional, national and international level.

It is recommended that Council resolve to continue the Junior Council program beyond the 18 month trial period with enhanced design.

The Melton City Council Youth Strategy (2014 – 2017) contains the following relevant action:

*1.2.1 Combine and enhance the functions of the Youth Advisory Group and Youth Council through the Youth Governance and Leadership program.*

### **3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference**

*The Melton City Council Plan references:*

- 3. Diverse, Confident and Inclusive Communities: A culturally rich, active, safe and connected City*
- 3.2 Build resilient people and communities through opportunities to participate in community life*

### **4. Financial Considerations**

The program will be resourced through the current Youth Services budget.

### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

Prior to the establishment of the program, Youth Services staff were consulted for input into the development of the initiative. Additionally, benchmarking with a neighbouring Council was also conducted.

All State government, independent and Catholic Education Office secondary and P-9 schools within the City of Melton were invited to lodge an expression of interest, and the local Scouts and Girls Guides groups were also invited to lodge an expression of interest.

For the review of the initiative, consultation and engagement with young people, their families, schools and other relevant community stakeholders was undertaken as part of the Youth Strategy engagement process.

An additional benchmarking of best-practice in municipal Junior Council programs was also undertaken, and used to inform the Junior Council model as suggested in this review.

## **6. Risk Analysis**

There is no risk identified with delivering this initiative.

## **7. Options**

That Council:

1. Endorse the Junior Council initiative as presented in this report; or
2. Note the report and resolve not to proceed with the continuation of the Junior Council program.

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Nil

## 11.11 FAMILY DAY CARE FUNDING REFORMS

**Author: Vicky Matthews- Children Services Manager**  
**Presenter: Maurie Heaney- General Manager Community Services**

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

To update Council on the change to the Australian Federal Government funding for Family Day Care (FDC) from 30 June 2015.

Cr Cugliari left the chamber at 8.07 pm.

Cr Cugliari returned to the chamber at 8.09 pm.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. Note that the Australian Federal Government will cease Community Support funding (approximately \$180,000) for the Family Day Care Program from 30 June 2015.
2. Commence a consultation process with educators and families on a model to recover the loss of external Australian Federal Government funding of approximately \$180,000 with an intent to commit to the current funding to deliver the family day care service, but also increase the fees of \$6.40 to \$7.10 per hour to cover the loss of Federal Government funding (approximately \$180,000).

Crs Majdlik/Turner. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

---

## REPORT

### 1. Executive Summary

Council has previously received a report on Family Day Care Service at its Ordinary Council meeting on 13 May 2013.

At that meeting, Council resolved:

*That:*

- 1. Council continue to manage the provision of Family Day Care with the cap of 53 Family Day Care providers;*
- 2. Officers continue to explore other opportunities for the delivery of Family Day Care provision;*
- 3. Officers review this service in 12 months time, reviewing the current structure, staffing and provider numbers, taking into account market trends and other Family Day Care providers that are operating in Melton or other surrounding municipalities; and*
- 4. A report be presented to Council on the future delivery of Family Day Care.*

This report provides two (2) recommendations for the Family Day Care program for Council's consideration.

## 2. Background/Issues

Melton City Council's Family Day Care program commenced operations as the Shire of Melton in July 1975. Since 1975, Council has received Community Support funding from the Australian Federal Government to provide a Coordination unit who have the responsibility to monitor and oversee the delivery of education and care to children and families.

Family Day Care services provide early childhood education and care for children aged from birth to 12 years in educators' homes. The educators are sub contracted to Council and registered to deliver Family Day Care services for Melton residents.

Currently the program has 43 external educators under agreement to Council to provide child care services to families in the municipality. Education and care is offered to families in the home beyond normal working hours through overnight and weekend care.

The Family Day Care coordination unit employs six (6) staff (5.28 EFT) and their role is to register, support and monitor the external educators. Currently, at the drafting of this report, a total of 509 children receive Family Day Care in the municipality, which equates to assistance being provided to 344 families.

### **Recruitment, training and qualifications**

The Family Day Care coordination unit advertises when necessary for additional educators. Following the advertisements, the induction and training processes for educators commence, which can take up to approximately eight (8) weeks. For example, this can involve site visits to the home with checks occurring internal and external of the property, and reference checks. The minimum qualification for educators is Certificate III in Children's Services or other Early Childhood qualification approved by the Australian Children's Education & Care Quality Authority (ACECQA).

Family Day Care enables employment opportunities and participation in the workplace, particularly for women. Council currently subsidises the Family Day Care service and has committed \$126,354 in the current 2014/15 financial year. On the current income projections it is estimated that Council's costs would be in the vicinity of \$70,000 by the end of June 2015, due to the introduction of an educator levy of 0.10 cents per hour and increased demand of child care hours. The Australian Federal Government, the **Department of Education and Training** ceasing funding from 30 June 2015 means that Council's Family Day Care service will result in a loss of approximately \$180,000 in external funding. Council would then be required to subsidise the service by approximately \$250,000 in the 2015/16 financial year, unless fees were increased. This cost estimate is based on 43 educators at any one time. If the educator levels were to reduce, the cost to Council increases. For example, there are currently 19 educators in the Eastern corridor and 24 educators in the Melton Township.

The Federal Government funding is 0.70 cents per hour of care for a child. The \$180,000 equates to the number of hours of care provided in any one (1) financial year.

## 3. Council Plan Reference and Policy Reference

The Melton City Council 2013-2017 Council Plan references:

3. Diverse, Confident and Inclusive Communities: A culturally rich, active, safe and connected City

3.1 *Provide an accessible range of services for all including children, young people, families and older adults*

#### **4. Financial Considerations**

As indicated above in recommendation two (2), the proposed increase is 0.70c per hour per child whilst in care. It should be noted that this cost would be reduced due to families being eligible for the Australian Government child care rebate and child care benefit.

Council's budget allocation for 2014/15 for the Family Day Care program is \$126,354. As from 1 July 2015, Council will no longer receive the Australian Federal Government funding amount of approximately \$180,000.

If Council would determine not to continue to provide the Family Day Care service and have the service provided by an alternative external organisation, there would be a significant redundancy cost that would affect 5.28 EFT (6 staff). Council has obligations in its current enterprise bargaining agreement (EBA) that would determine payments.

#### **5. Consultation/Public Submissions**

Consultation will need to occur with staff, Council's Family Day Care educators and representatives from families on proposed fee increases Council is considering. Officers will write to the effected families and educators as a first point of contact outlining the consultation process.

#### **6. Risk Analysis**

The Australian Federal Government funding changes will result in Council incurring a cost shift and having to pass on a new fee structure to the users of the service.

Currently there are 43 educators under agreement with Council. It should be noted that this number fluctuates during the year and can increase or decrease at any point in time. A decrease could incur additional costs to Council as the hours would not be delivered until a recruitment process is finalised.

#### **7. Options**

Council has the option to:

- Continue to commit funding of approximately \$126,000 to deliver the family day care service, but also increase the fees of \$6.40 to \$7.10 per hour to cover the loss of Federal Government funding (approximately \$180,000).
- Increase the hourly child care fee from \$6.40 to \$7.35 per hour which will cover the Federal Government funding loss, an additional cost that Council currently incur to provide the service. This will result in a nil cost to Council in the future pending EBA agreements.
- Cease to be a provider of Family Day Care and have the service operated and managed by an external provider/organisation.

#### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Nil



**12. REPORTS FROM DELEGATES APPOINTED TO OTHER BODIES**

Verbal reports were received from Crs Majdlik and Ramsey.

### **13. COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATIONS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

#### **13.1 RECORD OF ASSEMBLY OF COUNCILLORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 80A(1) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1989**

- 27 January 2015

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Record of Assembly of Councillors attached to this Agenda dated 27 January 2015 be received and noted.

Crs Majdlik/Carli. That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

#### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

1. Record of Assembly - 27 January 2015

#### **13.2 PUBLIC ADDRESS BY EACH COUNCILLOR**

Crs Majdlik, Dunn, Carli, Turner and Ramsey addressed the chamber in respect of a variety of matters of significance.

Cr Ramsey read a letter of thanks to the Council from the family of former Councillor, Leo Johnson, for the support recently at the time of his passing.

**14. NOTICES OF MOTION****14.1 NOTICE OF MOTION 398 (CR TURNER) COUNCIL WRITE TO MINISTER FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT, THE HONORABLE NATALIE HUTCHINS.****Councillor: Bob Turner****MOTION**

Crs Turner/Dunn

That Council write to the Minister for Local Government, the Honourable Natalie Hutchins requesting a review of the Valuation of Land Act of 1960 and Local Government Act 1989 in relation to the application of rating properties based on current valuation methods as Council believe the legislation does little to reflect the complexities of interface Councils, in particular land with the classification Urban Growth Zone.

CARRIED**PROCEDURAL DIVISION ON THE MOTION****For:**

Crs Carli, Cugliari, Dunn, Majdlik, Matalewski, Ramsey and Turner

**Against:**

Nil

The Mayor declared the division CARRIED.

**14.2 NOTICE OF MOTION 399 (CR CARLI) CEO TO ADVISE BY NEXT ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING WHAT SERVICES WILL BE CUT.****Councillor: Lara Carli****MOTION:**

Crs Carli/Matalewski.

That Following The Mayors Public Announcement that this council would Lose Services Due To Rate Capping, Can The CEO Please Advise By The Next Ordinary Council Meeting What Services Will Be Cut. Could He Also Inform This Council Of Service Cuts That Occurred Under A Previous Melton Council When The Rates Were Capped.

LOST**PROCEDURAL DIVISION ON THE MOTION****For:**

Crs Carli and Matalewski

**Against:**

Crs Cugliari, Dunn, Majdlik, Ramsey and Turner

The Mayor declared the division LOST.

**14.3 NOTICE OF MOTION 400 (CR CARLI) CEO UNDERTAKE RECOVERY OF MONIES PAID IN THE FORM OF CHILDCARE REIMBURSEMENTS****Councillor: Lara Carli**

Crs Majdlik and Cugliari having declared a direct interest in Item 5 in the Agenda, left the Chamber prior to discussion taking place.

**MOTION:**

Crs Carli/Matalewski.

That the CEO immediately undertake to recover any amounts of monies paid in a form of childcare reimbursements by this council using rate payers money, between 2008-2014, where as indicated in item 11.7 as a breach of procedure occurring. That he report back to this council on a monthly basis at Councils Ordinary Meeting, to advise how much has been recovered, and further more that this money recovered be added to the operating budget of disability services.

LOST

**PROCEDURAL DIVISION ON THE MOTION:****For:**

Crs Carli and Matalewski

**Against:**

Crs Dunn, Ramsey and Turner

The Mayor declared the division LOST.

Crs Majdlik and Cugliari returned to the Chamber.

**15. COUNCILLOR'S QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**

Cr Majdlik requested an update on Westwood Drive Bridge.

**16. MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**

Cr Majdlik

That Officers implement a new Community Achievement Award specifically under the category of 'Melton Disability Award' for all future Australia Day Celebrations. That Officers present to Councillors some options as to what / who may be included in this category to celebrate and recognise the important contributions made by people and / or organisations who are affected by disabilities.

**17. URGENT BUSINESS**

Nil.

## 18. CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS

### Procedural Motion

Crs Turner/Matalewski

That pursuant to Section 89(2) of the Local Government Act (1989) the meeting be closed to the public to consider the following reports, that are considered confidential for the reasons indicated

#### **18.1 Expression of Interest - 54 Pinnacle Crescent, Brookfield property**

This report is confidential in accordance with s89(2)(d) as it relates to contractual matters

#### **18.2 Provision of Valuation Services - Contract No 15/017**

This report is confidential in accordance with s89(2)(d) as it relates to contractual matters

#### **18.3 CEO's Review Committee Report 2014/15**

This report is confidential in accordance with s89(2)(a) as it relates to personnel matters:

CARRIED

**PROCEDURAL MOTION**

That the meeting be opened to the public.

Crs Majdlik/Cugliari

CARRIED

**19. CLOSE OF BUSINESS**

The meeting closed at 9.44 pm.

Confirmed

Dated this

.....CHAIRPERSON