

Appendix 6 – Referral Comments

<i>Type of Referral</i>	<i>Responses</i>
Internal	
Health Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No objections. • The Land Capability Assessment was assessed by Council's Health Service Department, and was deemed to be acceptable from a technical and functional perspective. Council's Health Service Department further outlined that the proposed use should be connected to reticulated sewerage when it becomes available.
Engineering Services (Design and Traffic)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No objections.
City Strategy, Design, and Environment (Strategic Development)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outlined several design suggestions including the possibility of reducing the extent of hardstand by incorporating semi-permeable surfaces, setting the proposed extension at least 1 metre from the boundary, and providing additional window treatments. • In relation to the above matters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The proposal was previously assessed as having hardstand, and it would not be acceptable from a town planning perspective to re-visit this aspect of the proposal. Additionally, the extent of hardstand has been reduced as a result of reducing the overall amount of car parking from 40 to 30 spaces. ○ The inclusion of a 1 metre setback from the northern property would be inconsequential, as the separation would be unnoticeable from the street, given, the street trees along the road reserve and the rendered Hebel wall that will be at least 2.0 metres in height that would be erected from the western property boundary to the eastern most extent of the outdoor play area.